UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/090,697	03/04/2002	Sanjay S. Singal	62357-8010.US01	4790
22918 DEDVING COL	7590 11/29/2007		EXAM	INER
PERKINS COIE LLP P.O. BOX 2168		EKPO, NNENNA NGOZI		
MENLO PARI	K, CA 94026		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/090,697	SINGAL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nnenna N. Ekpo	2623
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 March 2002.	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•
8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected to I	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>		nnlication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		
application from the International Bu	•	reserved in this Hadishar etage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.
	•	•
·		
Attachment(s)	<u></u>	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.
 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 26-27 are drawn to the organization of the data within a packet (example header/metadata), classified in class 370, subclass 235.
- II. Claims 12-25, drawn to the structure of an extractor and parser for distributing media throughout a network, classified in class 725, subclass 86.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I (claims 1-11 and 26-27) has separate utility such as permitting intermediate components of a network to selectively route data and subcombination II (claims 12-25) has streaming MPEG content over the internet. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for

Application/Control Number: 10/090,697

Art Unit: 2623

patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized search, classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. A telephone call was made to R. Michael Ananian on November 15, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Art Unit: 2623

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nnenna N. Ekpo whose telephone number is 571-270-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Pendleton can be reached on 571-272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/090,697 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NNE/nne November 19, 2007

> BRIAN TYRONE PENDLETON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER